

Receipts of cash to Chicago to-day, 15,000; of freight, \$1,000. The balance in Chicago is \$1,000. It is believed a vote will be taken, to-day, upon the ratification of the Mexican treaty. Robert Small has been nominated for congress, to succeed Mackey, deceased, by the Chicago, S. C. republicans. In a dispute over the city council, the Harwell stabbed fatally his sister, Mrs. Hughes. Both lie in Coffey county, Alabama.

A ordinance creating a paid commission to manage the Baltimore fire department has passed both branches of the city council. It is proposed to kill and burn all cattle infected with the hoof and mouth disease in Kansas. The district trouble is about 35 miles in extent. Plainfield, N. J., is all "horn up" with a temperance revival. Some of the more zealous not only wear the red ribbon themselves, but tie it on their dogs.

The snow storm in the north and east is the worst of the winter. Trains everywhere in the afflicted region are delayed, and in some cases can not move. The trustees in Chicago are opposed to further litigation against the operation of the Harper high license bill, but the saloonkeepers still continue to agitate it.

Postmaster Tiffany, of Bennington, Vt., yesterday, while engaged in the office, was making an examination of the affairs of his office at the request of his boardman. The Chicago, Burlington & Quincy railroad will extend the New York and Chicago fast mail service to Chicago, making a day in the transmission of mail between the two extreme limits.

Peter Schmitt, a Chicago contractor, who choked his wife to death ten days ago, committed suicide in his cell, yesterday, by tying a cord around his neck and drawing it with his hands. A wrestling match took place at Clarendon hall, New York, last night, between Edward Bibby and Matsuda Soraki, Chi, a Japanese, who was governed by Japanese rules. The Japanese won four straight falls.

Jeff Davis addressed the Mississippi legislature yesterday, expressing his regret that, owing to his health, he could not accept the invitation of that body to address it on the life and times of S. S. Frontiers. A New Haven telegram says Oliver Dyer, Jr., remains unconscious from injuries received in a fall from a horse on Saturday. Robert Bradford Williams, both are Yale students. Williams is a colored youth.

Washington dispatches say both wings of the democratic party in Ohio are opposed to position on the tariff. Morrison doesn't want to report his bill and Randall is willing that it should be discussed when it comes before the house. Up to the first of March, about 67 percent of the wheat crop had gone into consumption, leaving 33 percent still remaining on hand, against 38 percent of the crop of 1883 on the last of March, 1883. This shows a reduction of about 70,000,000 bushels.

On Saturday John Mothers and his wife, Catherine, of Snowdon township, Allegheny county, Pa., signed a divorce suit, charging John for life. Mothers is an old man of eighty years, and his wife is but two years younger, and they have been married forty-one years. The Rev. F. R. Dutton, a Virginian, was a preacher in the Protestant Methodist church in Ohio thirty years ago, stated at a class meeting that he had a presentiment that he should live and die in Ohio. He died at the age of thirty-three.

Col. E. B. Cash has been arrested and placed in the Ohio penitentiary, charged with the murder of a man named "Boggs," at Columbus, S. C., under indictment as accessory to the murder of Richards by the son Boggs. The murderer is a fugitive from the swamp and his capture is regarded merely as a question of time.

The house judiciary committee has adopted the suggestion of the various civil service reform associations, and has reported a bill favoring the repeal of the civil service laws, which restricts the terms of marshals, collectors and other officers of the United States civil service, to four years. Whiskey men are arranging to protect themselves in case the bill to extend the bonded period fails to pass. It is proposed to induce banks to take the warehouse receipts of the export of whiskey, which will be formed for exportation of whiskey to British ports in the island of the West Indies, and to be regarded necessary, in order to save the tax on the island, which must come out of bond in the next twenty days.

Bishop Ireland (Catholic), of St. Paul, Minn., in response to an invitation from the Law and Order society of Buffalo, and the clergy of all denominations, lectured on "Intemperance and Law," last night. Bishop Ryan (Protestant) lectured on "Intemperance and Law," last night. Bishop Ryan (Protestant) lectured on "Intemperance and Law," last night. Bishop Ryan (Protestant) lectured on "Intemperance and Law," last night.

Nathan F. Pratt, formerly treasurer of the Reading, Mass., Savings Bank, convicted of embezzlement, was sentenced to the state prison for four years, and fined \$1,000. Pratt is seventy-three years old, and vice came from him. His wife and two children are free. The original delinquency was \$100,000, but a portion of the money was recovered, and the loss to depositors is about \$40,000. Pratt was a prominent citizen of Reading, and has been a member of the board of directors of the bank.

A young woman named McDonald was terribly and perhaps fatally burned, near Washington, Sunday. Her clothes took fire from a red-hot stove, near which she was standing. Thirty-two years ago last September, John Smith, then the junior of the Ford school, Lafayette, failed to show up one morning. All search proved unavailing. No tidings came from him. His wife and two children were found still mourning him as dead, and there was a joyful reunion. Smith says he can not tell why he went away. He went to the coal mines of Pennsylvania, where he has since been.

Yesterday was the czar's birthday. The French forces are said to have had a successful engagement with the Chinese, near the city of Peking. Herbert Spencer has declined an invitation to stand as a liberal candidate for parliament from Leicester. The first through train over the Mexican Central from Mexico City is expected at the city of Mexico Saturday.

George Buckland, a popular English lecturer, and William Blanchard Jerrold, a well-known English journalist are dead. The last was a son of the famous and the famous wit, and himself for sometime editor of Punch. Gen. Gordon reached the situation in Sudan as most men would, and suggests the appointment of Zeebher Pasha to succeed himself as governor. This suggestion surprised the English government, and Gordon has been a man of great ability and energy.

The Shans, a native hill tribe in the north of Burma, have revolted and are devastating the lower plains. They have invested the city of Burmah from which the English and American missionaries have fled. The missionaries report the principal village in the vicinity of Burmah sacked and burned.

Catholic Changes. Rome, March 11.—Cardinal Seccati has been appointed dean of the sacred college of cardinals. The cardinal is a native of the city of Rome, and has been a member of the sacred college for many years. Cardinal Seccati is a man of great ability and energy, and has been a prominent figure in the Roman church.

Dr. Henthorn claims \$735 from the county for taking care of smallpox patients sent to him from the jail.

LATEST BY TELEGRAPH.

FAMILY MURDERS.

Oscar Gallagher Killed by His Step-Son, George Davis, in New Albany, Last Night.

(Special dispatch to The Indianapolis News.) NEW ALBANY, March 11.—George, better known as "Bunk," Davis, killed his step-father, Oscar Gallagher, here last night, striking him on the head with a bowlder. Gallagher found his way, twelve squares, to the jail and informed the sheriff, who arrested the murderer and he is now in jail. The cause was a family quarrel. Gallagher died at 11 o'clock.

Shot His Son-in-law. NASHVILLE, Tenn., March 11.—This morning William Spence shot and killed his son-in-law, Ed. S. Wheat, at the Merchants' exchange corner. Spence was formerly United States marshal in the middle district of Tennessee, and was succeeded by Wheat. The trouble arose from a disagreement in the settlement of business matters. Wheat was a member of the firm of Buford, Thayer & Co., of this city. Spence is in custody.

Pittsburg Coal Miners Appoint a Tribunal With Power to Settle All Disputes. PITTSBURGH, Pa., March 11.—At the semi-annual convention of railroad district and river coal miners of the Pittsburg district to-day, thirty-four delegates, representing 15,000 men and nearly every mine in the district, were present. A tribunal consisting of a representative from each pool and railroad section, was appointed, with power to settle any dispute that may arise, and assist the president in building up the organization.

Three hundred men have returned to work in the South. Three hundred men have returned to work in the South. Three hundred men have returned to work in the South. Three hundred men have returned to work in the South. Three hundred men have returned to work in the South.

THE BOLT AND NUT TRADE. PITTSBURGH, March 11.—Alfred Marland, of the Marland & Neely nut and bolt company of this city has just returned from a secret meeting of the nut and bolt manufacturers of the United States, held in New York last week. He says never in the history of the trade has there been such a depression as at present. He did not suppose that out of forty-two firms in the country one is making over nine days out of two weeks. Fifteen thousand men are employed in the manufacture of capital is invested. The president's election and tariff tinkering, he said, are responsible for the depression. He did not think there was much prospect of renewing business until after the November election and settlement of the tariff issue.

INDICATIONS. WASHINGTON, D. C., March 11.—The Tennessee and Ohio valleys, warmer threatening weather and heavy rain, with high southerly winds, severe local snow, diminishing pressure to-night and Wednesday with colder clearing weather, with westerly winds, increasing pressure. Lower Lake region warmer, threatening rain and high southerly winds, with westerly winds, increasing pressure. Generally colder weather and increasing pressure.

PRODUCE EXPORTS FALLING OFF. NEW YORK, March 11.—The value of exports of produce from New York, for the week ending to-day, was \$5,390,440, against \$7,507,419 in the corresponding week last year. The total value of exports since January 1, has been \$61,891,272, against \$70,305,253 in the corresponding time last year.

THE TARIFF BILL REPORTED. WASHINGTON, March 11.—In the house to-day Mr. Morrison reported favorably, from the ways and means committee, a bill to reduce import duties and the war tariff, (the new tariff bill), accompanied with a written report. Mr. McKinley submitted the views of the minority.

DESTRUCTIVE PRATTLE FIRE. KANSAS CITY, Mo., March 11.—A destructive prattle fire, started by alumnus spark yesterday afternoon, near Kinsley, Kansas, burned a large building, and destroyed other property. Mrs. George W. Matheny was burnt to death in the endeavor to save her home.

THE CHURCH DENIES APPROVAL OF EMMETT. DOWNTOWN, N. H., March 11.—A lively contest is being waged in the church of St. Mary, of St. Mary's Catholic church, near Emmet, N. H., over the question of the church's approval of the late Bishop's action in the case of the Rev. Father Murphy, who was expelled from the church for immorality. The church denies approval of the Bishop's action.

RAILROAD NOTES. The trainmen and passengers on the Big Four accommodation which arrives in this city at 10:25 a. m., saw a horrible sight in coming out of Cincinnati to day. An M. & C. switchman, Mack, by name, had been killed, headed by a Big Four pony, and his headless body lay by the side of the track, awaiting coronial action as the passenger train passed by.

Rate cutting is becoming more general. A western line to-day, saw a \$2.50 reduction on Burlington, and Kansas City tickets were sold at \$1.25 off. The scalpers are being out-scaped nearly all lines in all directions. The Big Four is the only line that has not lowered its rates. The water is already in the former and the rise in the river points to fifty feet.

The Air Line is doing no Chicago business pending the adjustment of the agreement with the Big Four. At least Chicago tickets are off sale on this line. The stockholders of the Gould roads and St. Louis & San Francisco to-day selected their old boards of directors.

THE GOVERNOR REPLES. "I am not much addicted to interviews," remarked Governor Porter, to-day, "but in answer to the question, 'What do you know?' column of Saturday's News, whether the governor and relief committee are harmonizing. I beg to say that the committee has, in its opinion, charged its difficult and arduous duties intelligently, justly and conscientiously, and it is my constant pleasure to turn over to it my share of the work. A singular feature of it is to be its power of doing anything single word in half a dozen or any number of parts and conveying each part by a separate wire, no matter if scattered by many miles, to the proper destination and there combining them all into a complete and intelligible sound again. There is no stealing off such a line as this, for the fractional part of a sound means nothing, and there can be no combination of the fractions but at the proper terminus. This, however, does not concern the proposed project of a telephonic system using the improved instrument. The other scheme proposes to use an improvement of the Bell instrument, and has already made considerable advances in securing success. The improvement is said to consist of a little metal arm acting on the vibrating diaphragm, which improves the tone, but it is claimed, is liable to be regarded as a violation of the Bell patent, and either be extinguished by an injunction or preserve its life by paying a royalty. There is the point of trouble between the projects and the money that is backing them. An improvement that does not vary the principle of an invention has no chance in the courts now.

TOWNSHIP AFFAIRS.

The Total Indebtedness Placed at \$11,171.50—Estimated Running Expenses \$2,000. The Sentinel accuses The News this morning of an incorrect and partisan statement, in the article of yesterday, detailing some of the expenses of the township trustee. Nothing is more ridiculous. The items were copied from the official records, and any person doubting the correctness, individually or collectively, can take the dates and consult the books for himself. And not only was the publication fairly put to the proof, but the which was thrown out that the investigation beginning to-morrow would direct them of their peculiarities. Last night a reporter met Mr. Kitz for a moment, he saying that there was nothing in his accounts but what he could explain away to the satisfaction of every one; and it is to be hoped that he can.

One point he made, if the reporter understood him, was that the \$100 charged to horsefeed in September was wrong, in so far as it was made to apply wholly to himself; in fact, only \$67.50 was due to his own account, while the remainder was on account of Smith & Loeper, surveyors. This confounding matters for it is not readily seen what special providence placed a horse and buggy at the convenience of Smith & Loeper at the expense of the township. When Mr. Kitz made his demand for authority to negotiate a \$6,000 loan, he filed the following estimate of expenses until July 1:

Extra salary \$150
Salary trustee from August 1 \$74
Salary of clerk, janitor, etc. \$100
Blank books and other expenses \$100
Buggy for visitors \$100
Lawyer fees \$100
Total \$1,000

This estimate anticipates the probable expenditures for only four months, and is well calculated to make the average tax payer feel that a little more work for three months would be sufficient to keep the township in the red. The liabilities of the township are thus given:

Due bills out: Road fund \$539.40
Note to Hancock Mutual Life \$1,371.50
Special fund \$1,000.00
Indianapolis national bank \$1,000.00
Merchants' national bank \$500.00
Total \$4,410.90

On to-morrow, as stated above, the investigation will begin, and the public can stand with such explanation as not readily compressed in dry statistics. Until then, the public can wait. The investigation yesterday created a great surprise, and the prejudice attached to the trustee's high priced horse and buggy rode unbidden to protest against any further extravagance in this direction. It was the principal topic for gossip, and is yet, and the readiness with which the morning press joined in the procession shows how strongly in sympathy successful business men are with the public treasury views with popular disapproval.

THE HUSBAND AND WIFE MEET UPON THE WITNESS STAND IN DIRECT CONTRADICTION. The Black divorce case was called up yesterday, by Judge Howe, and during the afternoon and to-day the evidence developed several sensational features. The marital difficulties of Cassius M. Black and wife are similar to the public. He may last when Black was found with a bullet in his brain and near death's door. Following his recovery this couple attempted to blackmail Lee Fulmer, street commissioner, or at least, Black proposed it, and represented that Black to fire the shot which well nigh proved fatal. The authorities took no stock in Black's story; still there was a disposition to present him for attempted blackmail, and the matter drifted out of sight, only to be revived by a suit for divorce, in which the husband was the plaintiff. Yesterday he took the stand, and told his story, giving an intelligent account of their stormy wedded life down to the evening of May 18, when, upon visiting her room, he met Fulmer, and it was upon attempting to force her to have sexual intercourse, that he was shot. He said that he was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the back, and finally shot him. Further, that he lay unconscious from Friday evening until Tuesday. All this matter was brought out last summer, in effect, that since the separation from his wife he had presented himself to the public as a man of honor, and upon this point he was quite emphatic. In contradiction of Black's story, his wife testified that she had spent a night in the room with the bullet in his brain, and that after his recovery he devised the attempted blackmailing of Fulmer and the subsequent divorce suit. She testified that she was shot in the

D. H. BALDWIN & CO.,

64 and 66 North Pennsylvania st.

WE OFFER SPECIAL INDUCEMENTS TO

PIANO

AND

ORGAN BUYERS

To reduce stock, preparatory to moving to the large, handsome and commodious store, 95, 97 and 99 North Pennsylvania, Denison House block, corner of Ohio street.

A special lot of Pianos, both new and second-hand, at prices that will insure speedy sale.

We invite inspection, and will give prompt attention to inquiries by mail.

D. H. BALDWIN & CO.,

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL DEALERS,

Steinway & Sons, Decker Bros., Haines Bros., J. & C. Fischer, Voss & Sons, D. H. Baldwin & Co., and other Pianos.

The Celebrated Estey Organs, Shoninger Organs, Hamilton Organs.

For All Nervous Troubles.
"Bromide of P. Plaster" relieved my neuralgia.—(Congressman Gunther, of Wis.)

NEW GLOVES.

NEW MOUTQUETAIRES.
In elegant shades, \$1.50, \$1.75, \$2.00, \$2.15, \$2.35 and \$2.50 per pair.

Tucker's Glove Store.

10 East Washington St.

NEW GOODS.

White and Fancy Bordered Hemstitch Handkerchiefs. Handsome Neckwear. Gloves for street and evening wear.

PAUL H. KRAUSS.
(Successor to West & Krauss).
Nov. 26 and 28 North Penn. St.
Shirts made to order.

NEW BOOKS.

The Hessians in the Revolution—By Ed. J. Lowell; \$1.50.
A Short History of Our Own Times. By Justin McCarthy; \$1.50.
Conquerors of England—By John Richard Green; portraits and map. 3.50.

Merrill, Meigs & Co.,
No. 5 E. Washington St.

The Indianapolis News published every afternoon, except Sunday, at the office, No. 30 West Washington street. Price, two cents a copy. Served by carriers in any part of the city, ten cents a week. By mail, postage prepaid, 10 cents a month, \$3 a year.
For sale, in New York, by Brewster Brothers, Union Square; Washington, Ebbitt House news stand.

Advertisements, first page, one cent a word for each insertion; nothing less than ten words counted. Display advertisements vary in price according to time and position.
NO ADVERTISEMENTS DELETED AS EDITORIAL MATTER.

Specimen numbers sent free on application. Terms, cash, invariably in advance. All communications should be addressed to
JOHN H. HOLMSTAD & CO., PROPRIETORS.

THE DAILY NEWS

TUESDAY, MARCH 11, 1884.

The hearing of the English-Peole contest has been postponed for a few days.

DEMOCRATIC advice from Washington are positive with great particularity that so-and-so is the weakest man the Republicans can nominate for Governor of Indiana. It is to be noticed that the other side always nominates its weakest man but occasionally he "gets there."

REMARKS' letter returning the Laeek resolutions was presented to Secretary Frelinghuysen yesterday, who immediately indited an apologetic defense to Sargent, dropping the matter as far as the administration was concerned. In the house, however, Mr. Hancock introduced some resolutions which cover the case and which we hope to see adopted.

LIEUTENANT RAY, commander of the polar expedition to Point Barrow, an interesting account of which was given yesterday, is of the opinion that Greely is safe. His reason is that Greely could not have left Lady Franklin bay after August and if he had done so, would have reached the Prote before the left. As he did not, Lieut. Ray thinks he is still at the station, where he is well provisioned.

The Times wishes to know why the Journal and News while they are seeking a reduction in county expenses, do not try to collect \$24,000 from Samuel Hanway, an ex-county treasurer, and instructs that the Journal says nothing of this, because Mr. John C. New is one of Mr. Hanway's bondsmen. So far as this paper is concerned, it is not likely to interfere in its efforts in behalf of the people either in this instance or any other, and we think the Journal is of like mind. So far as Mr. Hanway is concerned, it is not certain that he owes the county a single cent. Various "experts" have come to various conclusions. The last who went over his

accounts said that he owed \$24,000, just as they said Mr. Landers owed a large sum. A long trial developed the fact that Mr. Landers owed a very small sum and only such a one as was likely to grow out of small errors in an immense business, without the slightest ground for an imputation of dishonesty. Mr. Hanway's case is the same as far as it has gone. The county has sued him and the county attorney says the sickness of Judge Walker is the only reason a trial has not been had. The commissioners and their attorney are doing all they can in the matter, and doubtless soon will know certainly whether Mr. Hanway owes anything or not. If it is decided that he does his bondsmen are fully responsible, if he is not, and there is not likely to be any lack of diligence in collecting the amount. But as the matter stands neither Mr. Hanway nor his bondsmen owe the county a dollar. A claim does not make debt necessarily.

The News has more than once found cause of complaint against the congregation, all practice of adjourning "in honor," as it is called, of a deceased member who died at home in vacation, and the aggravation of the offense by speeches that are rarely better than sentimental slop and rhetorical rubbish. It wasted public time and the opportunities of public business for what was never a real sacrifice to sensibility entitled to general sympathy. When a member dies in his duty, drops from among his associates in the midst of daily business, an adjournment is proper, and nobody of any sense has ever dreamed of objecting to it, though even in that case an equal exhibition of proper sentiment might be made by faithfully continuing at work. And such eulogies as belittled the man and the time would be generously tolerated by the public. But when the death occurs at home, in vacation, with nothing to bring the occurrence before the body the member belonged to but a "cut and dried" announcement, an apt speech or two on the dead man's character and services might be tolerable, but there is no sense or sensibility in an adjournment. The event is long past, the public has forgotten it, the family has mitigated its grief, there is nothing in the time or the man to put him in place of the public business, or to make his panegyric a matter of general concern. Where prominence of reputation or service entitles him to such attention it is well enough, but of what value is it when it becomes a matter of course, and is given to some tuppenny politician who has intrigued his way to congress, as well as to a distinguished man whose reputation is a source of national pride and whose services are part of national history? "There is no distinction in an order that everybody may wear." If it would not be more proper for congress to discriminate, then abandon the practice instead of making it universal, except in case of death on duty. But to many minds and to all good taste there is a stronger objection to these exhibitions of formal sensibility than to the interruption of public work. That is the rhetorical slush of which these occasions are made the conduit to public attention and disgust. We have more than enough of the tumid eloquence of what has long been known as the "American school," the "Hamlet Chollup" style, on the thousand outpourings of national occasions and anniversaries of one kind or another, without contriving special manufactures in funeral panegyrics.

A specimen of the slop indulged in may be seen in "eulogies" a few days since, over the late Mr. Haskell, of Kansas. Mr. Bedford, of Colorado, said:

How many hearts commenced life joyful and glad, to be hurried to their graves, like a clock out of time. It is impressively sad to witness the scissors of death severing the threads that bind the human soul to this earth. What hopes are crushed, what anticipations are frustrated. The ocean that separates this world from the next no human eye can penetrate.

Mark Twain used to call that sort of stuff, "hog-wash." Mr. Ryan, of Kansas, imagined his late colleague looking down from an "infinite height" at the

"Sorrowing hosts of his own state watering his grave with tears, and bedecking it with flowers and garlands, and the flowers fade and their fragrance perishes, surviving affection will rear a sculptured column above his dust, and the enduring marble record of his name and his deeds will be a monument to his memory, and a source of inspiration to his people."

If Mr. Haskell sees anything from above, and retains anything of the good sense he exhibited below, he would rather see the "sorrowing hosts of his own state" spanking Mr. Ryan for his rubbish than "bedecking his own grave" with flowers. We want just as few chances for such gushers of slush as there, as the nature of the case will admit.

She Saved His Life.
(Trove's Story.)

There was a lot of drummers seated around the stove.

"I tell you what it is," said the St. Louis commercial traveler, "the boys can't make all the fun they please of the Lake trip, but as for me, I shall ever feel deeply indebted to Chicago."

"Why so?" asked Bangs, a Chicago man.

"Because a girl from that town saved my life."

"When?"

"Last summer when I was up on the lakes. Got shipwrecked, you know."

"There was a Chicago belle on board our boat, and she was our salvation. Saved the whole ship's company."

"Didn't swim ashore with all of you?"

"No."

"Noble girl! She wore cork-soled shoes which she lashed together with the strings and made a raft. We all got aboard and floated safely ashore."

And then it was so quiet you might have heard a clap of thunder while the bartender set out the bottle and glasses.

Bathing in Japan.
According to the English lady now traveling in Japan, every rich or poor, in that country takes a dip at least once a day in a caldron of hot water. The rich bathe in a bath house and at the same time have a bath at a thermal spring, which is an immense. Precedence is given to the elders when there are no visitors, then to the young people according to their age, next to the maid-servants.

Congressmen had Better Remember.
(Chicago Current.)

The passage of a few of the numerous "liberal" pension bills, will have the effect of making the American tax-payer instinctively button up his pockets and ascertain the views of congress candidates, not on the true theory and practice of pension-griving.

A Political Straw.
(Chicago Record-Herald.)

The eagerness with which the democratic papers, all over the state, manifest their preference for Col. Dudley instead of Major Callahan, as candidate for governor on the republican ticket, is positive proof that they think him the easiest man of the two to beat.

STATE NEWS.

Ass C. Cook has been commissioned postmaster at Vernal, and has accepted the office.

Miss Ann Rowan, of Vernon, had her arm broken by a fall at the skating rink.

John Dwyer, who lost his jaw at John son's starch works, at Madison, is dead.

Dr. T. C. Kinball, of Leeds, has been appointed a member of the pension examining board at Marion.

The republicans of Connersville have decided to nominate their city ticket by popular vote on March 21.

The Franklin county commissioners have appointed Adam Sotting as superintendent of the poor asylum, vice Abial Shaw, resigned.

Rev. Dennis Rouse, pastor of the Baptist (colored) church, at Evansville, baptised fifty-two converts to their faith in the river, on Sunday.

Bills were introduced in the house yesterday to pension the following Indiana soldiers: Green, Margaret Dady, Henry Tepe, Geo. B. Hoss and William B. Baker.

Mrs. Silas Riche died yesterday, at Madison, aged eighty-two. She had been a resident of Madison over since 1810, and was extensively connected and highly respected.

The residence of George Robinson, at Crawfordsville, was burned at an early hour yesterday morning. A defective fuse was the cause.

A barn belonging to Albert Clark, of Brevelin, containing a lot of lumber, hay, a spring wagon and a large circular shed, was destroyed by fire on Sunday. Loss, \$400; no insurance.

President Smart has prepared a plan for extending the signal service to the schools of Indiana. The central office of the state signal service is located at Purdue university, which he is president of.

George A. Gray, editor of the Corydon Democrat, was fined \$3 by the board of county commissioners for contempt. He had just become the father of a bouncing girl baby, and had a contempt for everything else.

Two youngsters aged about twelve, son and nephew of J. R. Ansbury, of Williams-town, county, after purchasing a quantity of ammunition, harn and guns, and having them charged to their parents, started for the far west Sunday night.

John Haynes has been sentenced to fifteen years in the penitentiary for rape on a nine year old girl, at Romney, Tippecanoe county. Haynes stayed to the court that he was innocent, and had been wrongly convicted and asked for a reduction in sentence, but to no purpose.

The democracy of Brown and Bartholomew counties, comprising the ninth judicial circuit, have nominated Nelson Keyes, of Columbus, for judge, and Anders J. Pierfield, of Nashville, Brown county, for prosecuting attorney. Both nominations were made with acclamation and are significant of an election, the counties being largely democratic.

Joseph W. Jackson, one of the oldest pioneers and largest land owners of Wayne county, died at his home, near Centerville, yesterday, from fatty degeneration of the heart. He was highly respected for his integrity by all. At the house of his son, a principal stockholder and vice president of the First National bank of Cambridge City.

The difference between the operators and miners, at Brazil, still continues unadjusted, and all the miners in the district, numbering about 1,500, are without employment. The operators refuse to reduce the daily twenty-five cents per ton, and this the miners will not accept. Many men are leaving the district to seek employment at other points.

George Rowland Price, son of M. L. Price, of Richmond, not yet six years of age, and yet remarkably solves problems, away up in the hundreds that would require paper and pencil with the average business man, and he gives an answer as promptly as most boys of his years could tell their age. He is equally prompt in all the processes of arithmetic.

The following city officers of North Vernon were elected yesterday: Mayor, James P. Cope; marshal, Jephtha Dowd; recorder, J. C. Cope; auditor, J. C. Cope; assessor, J. C. Cope; clerk, J. C. Cope; treasurer, J. C. Cope; and three democrats and two republicans.

The jury in the noted Augustine murder case at Laporte, returned a verdict yesterday afternoon, after a session of three days, sentencing the prisoner to five years in the penitentiary. The defendant was indicted for murder in the second degree, for killing George Augustine, a colored man, on October 1, 1880. On Saturday a prisoner named Winslow was sentenced to four years for stealing a horse.

As one of the prominent residents of South Bend entered a darkened room at his house the other evening, he was seized upon and dragged to the center of the room by a number of young ladies, who proceeded to undress him and to witness the scissors of death severing the threads that bind the human soul to this earth. What hopes are crushed, what anticipations are frustrated. The ocean that separates this world from the next no human eye can penetrate.

Mark Twain used to call that sort of stuff, "hog-wash." Mr. Ryan, of Kansas, imagined his late colleague looking down from an "infinite height" at the

"Sorrowing hosts of his own state watering his grave with tears, and bedecking it with flowers and garlands, and the flowers fade and their fragrance perishes, surviving affection will rear a sculptured column above his dust, and the enduring marble record of his name and his deeds will be a monument to his memory, and a source of inspiration to his people."

If Mr. Haskell sees anything from above, and retains anything of the good sense he exhibited below, he would rather see the "sorrowing hosts of his own state" spanking Mr. Ryan for his rubbish than "bedecking his own grave" with flowers. We want just as few chances for such gushers of slush as there, as the nature of the case will admit.

She Saved His Life.
(Trove's Story.)

There was a lot of drummers seated around the stove.

"I tell you what it is," said the St. Louis commercial traveler, "the boys can't make all the fun they please of the Lake trip, but as for me, I shall ever feel deeply indebted to Chicago."

"Why so?" asked Bangs, a Chicago man.

"Because a girl from that town saved my life."

"When?"

"Last summer when I was up on the lakes. Got shipwrecked, you know."

"There was a Chicago belle on board our boat, and she was our salvation. Saved the whole ship's company."

"Didn't swim ashore with all of you?"

"No."

"Noble girl! She wore cork-soled shoes which she lashed together with the strings and made a raft. We all got aboard and floated safely ashore."

And then it was so quiet you might have heard a clap of thunder while the bartender set out the bottle and glasses.

Bathing in Japan.
According to the English lady now traveling in Japan, every rich or poor, in that country takes a dip at least once a day in a caldron of hot water. The rich bathe in a bath house and at the same time have a bath at a thermal spring, which is an immense. Precedence is given to the elders when there are no visitors, then to the young people according to their age, next to the maid-servants.

Congressmen had Better Remember.
(Chicago Current.)

The passage of a few of the numerous "liberal" pension bills, will have the effect of making the American tax-payer instinctively button up his pockets and ascertain the views of congress candidates, not on the true theory and practice of pension-griving.

A Political Straw.
(Chicago Record-Herald.)

The eagerness with which the democratic papers, all over the state, manifest their preference for Col. Dudley instead of Major Callahan, as candidate for governor on the republican ticket, is positive proof that they think him the easiest man of the two to beat.

THE LASKER RESOLUTION.

Official Correspondence and Reports Concerning Its Transmission to and Return from Germany—It is Passed Again, Without Mention of Bismarck's Name.

The president transmitted to the house of representatives, yesterday, in a brief message, without comment, a number of letters, telegrams, and other documents, relating to the Lasker resolution, which was recently returned by Bismarck. The first of these documents is a letter from Secretary Frelinghuysen to Minister Sargent, transmitting the Lasker resolution. This letter is followed by a letter from Mr. Sargent to Secretary Frelinghuysen, in which the former acknowledges the receipt of the foregoing letter, and incloses a copy of his note to Court Hartfield, the imperial secretary of state, and also of his letter to Moritz Lasker, one of the nearest relatives of the late statesman, and the latter's reply. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Moritz Lasker to Mr. Sargent, in which he acknowledges receipt of Minister Sargent's letter, and expresses his view of the Washington government before the official communication of the resolution. Mr. Sargent's letter to Hartfield is a formal note inclosing a copy of the resolutions of the house of representatives. The next in order is the reply of Mor

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT

SEMI ANNUAL REPORT OF FASHIONS.

HOW TO BE BORN.

DOUBLE BREASTED FROCKERS.—For spring and summer wear the lower opening will be the principal change. The lapels and collar will be cut in a new style, and the waist with a medium width binding put on half and half or marrow fat braided. Black and white, blue and white, and white and tan, as well as grays of many shades, with a strong tendency to wear frock suits made from the same fabric, caught the eye. They will be made of soft, light work-clothes type material, made of soft and to be comfortable and easy to wear.

The single-breasted straight front frock will close with five buttons, otherwise it will be the same as the double-breasted.

WALKING AND BUSINESS SUITS.—The one, three, four and five button cutaway frock will be the worst the coming season.

The newest and noisiest form isthat of the short one-button cutaway. This coat comes in three or four shades, and is made of cloth that close with three or more buttons, and has the fronts cut with a greater round.

It is a very attractive frock, and will be quite popular. This coat should be rather longer than the one just mentioned, and

On worsteds and smooth-faced goods, binding and braids are used, but rougher suitings are generally stiched.

For business purposes there is no color so general as gray, as the season. The five button straight sack will take the lead, and will be cut to trace the exact form. In length, sack coats will be somewhat longer than last season.

The one-button Cutaway Sack, with patch pockets, will be a favorite during the warmer months. Later in the season the low roll sack will be more worn. The roll will extend to the lower button but will not be heavy.

OVERCOATS—The variety of styles in overgarments is limited. The two leading styles will be the close-fitting sharply flared sack coat, and the straight cut coat. The loose coat is gaining favor as it is considered by many a more select style than the close-fitting coat.

VESTS—There is nothing new to report in vests, but the tendency is to open them lower. They are made with and with-

our collar, close with six or seven buttons, and are cut square across the bottom.

TROUSERS.—The tendency is to cut trousers larger, but the increase in size progresses slowly.

IN Yesterday's issue we gave a report on the full dress suit.

and our New Spring Styles, and the newest and latest styles in respectfully invited to call and either you desire to purchase show you novelties not obtain in this city

Tailoring Co.,
 11th Penn. St.

Tea House.

Imperial, Young Hyson and Gunpowder Teas.
 call the attention of Dealers to the fact that we
 carry a full assortment of COFFEES. Consumers who love a good
 one or Gates's A No. 1 or Blended Java Coffee.

31 and 33 East Maryland St.

THE PLACE TO BUY
s, Diamonds and Jewelry
at Bargains is at 115 W. Wash. St.

PATTERNS, MODELS
AND PATENTS,
JOHNSON & CO.,
In th. Cor. Georgia and Delaware

Railway Time Table.

DEPART. (Central Standard Time) ARRIVE.
Jeffersonville, Madison and Indianapolis.
MONTGOMERY, C. & O. 4:10am IND. & MAD. M. 1:00am
LOUIS. & MAD. EX. 11:25am I. & M. L. & C. EX. 11:00am
LOUIS. & MAD. M. 1:00pm N. Y. & W. EX. 10:00am
IND. EX. daily, 10:40am BAL. & C. EX. daily, 10:00am

THE PLACE TO BUY
s, Diamonds and Jewelry
at Bargains is at 115 W. Wash. St.

PATTERNS, MODELS
AND PATENTS,
JOHNSON & CO.,
In th. Cor. Georgia and Delaware

Railway Time Table.

DEPART. (Central Standard Time) ARRIVE.
Jeffersonville, Madison and Indianapolis.
MONTGOMERY, C. & O. 4:10am IND. & MAD. M. 1:00am
LOUIS. & MAD. EX. 11:25am I. & M. L. & C. EX. 11:00am
LOUIS. & MAD. M. 1:00pm N. Y. & W. EX. 10:00am
IND. EX. daily, 10:40am BAL. & C. EX. daily, 10:00am

[illegible][illegible]

THE LOCAL DIVISION.		
Moore and Son, 5434m	Mail & Day ex., 5:00am	5:00am
Mail & Day ex., 5:00am	Mail & Day ex., 5:00am	5:00am
Night ex., 9 p. m. 11:00am	Night ex., 9 p. m. 11:00am	11:00am
East St., Mt 47th 4:30am	Division's express, 4:30am	4:30am
Day Ex. 4:30am	Day Ex. 4:30am	4:30am
North St. express 4:40pm	North St. express 4:40pm	4:40pm
Indianapolis	Day Ex. 11:22 a. m. 11:22am	11:22am
Day Ex. 6 city 7:10am	Day Ex. 6 city 7:10am	7:10am
Perle Express, 7:50pm	Perle Express, 7:50pm	7:50pm
Porter 4th L. Exp 8:30pm	Porter 4th L. Exp 8:30pm	8:30pm
St. L. & St. Louis	St. L. & St. Louis	8:30pm
W. Joyce, 11:10pm	W. Joyce, 11:10pm	11:10pm
Conville, 11:10pm	Conville, 11:10pm	11:10pm
Chicago & Indianapolis 4:10pm	Chicago & Indianapolis 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago ex. 4:10 p. m. 4:10pm	Chicago ex. 4:10 p. m. 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago & Indianapolis 4:10pm	Chicago & Indianapolis 4:10pm	4:10pm
Min City & Chi-	Min City & Chi-	4:10pm
cago ex. Indi., 4:10pm	cago ex. Indi., 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicagoland, 4:10pm	Chicagoland, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis & Chi-	Indianapolis & Chi-	4:10pm
cago express, 4:10pm	cago express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	Indianapolis express, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Trunk, 4:10pm	Trunk, 4:10pm	4:10pm
Chicago, 4:10pm	Chicago, 4:10pm	4:10pm



